

One respondent sees that there has been a slight change in the AMM position over the past 20 years. Prior to 1948, he says, the AMM gave only the Zionist side. After 1948 and 1956 there were a very few publications which attempted to deal with the rights of the Palestinians. Since 1967 there has been an increasing number of publications which have been critical of Israel. But the heavy weight is still on the side of the Zionists, who have a massive and well-organized propaganda program.

### *Conclusion*

The above figures and statements show that the respondents perceive the AMM as strongly pro-Israel and at the same time almost completely anti-Arab. It also shows that as far as the Palestine problem is concerned the AMM seems to be quite biased and greatly influenced by the Zionist position.

We can conclude from the detailed descriptions stated above that the AMM uses certain techniques to support the Zionist case. Five such techniques which could be used at different occasions are:

- (1) The AMM presents the facts but gives them a Zionist interpretation. This is a very effective technique since in most events the interpretation and rationalization of the event means much more than the event itself.